

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Classification:</b> Open	<b>Date:</b> 19/01/06	<b>Matter for Delegated Decision Making:</b> Strategic Director of Environment and Leisure
<b>Report title:</b>		Supplementary Report – Herne Hill ‘HH’ and North Dulwich ‘ND’ Controlled Parking Zone Review	
<b>Ward(s) or groups affected:</b>		Village	
<b>From:</b>		Nicky Costin	

### BACKGROUND AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Under the terms of the constitution, Key Decisions require a period of at least five clear working days between publication of the report and the decision being made. This period gives opportunity for final representations to be made to the reports recommendations.
2. At the request of Village councillors and with agreement of the Head of Street Scene and Public Service this period was extended for three weeks, prior to the reports entering the constitutional, decision-taking process. This period commenced on 9 December 2005 and completed on 4 January 2006.
3. This supplementary report sets out those representations made during this period and the recommended action.

### Herne Hill CPZ Review - Written Representations

Ref	Date Rc	Source	Issue	Action/Recommendation
01	12/12/05	Dulwich Community Council.	Recommends that ‘resident only’ parking places are installed in Carver Road, not shared-use.	Detailed design should be amended accordingly to allow ‘permit holders only’. Shared-use to remain in two bays at western end and two bays at south-eastern end.
02	12/12/05	Dulwich Community Council.	Recommends that hours of operation should be amended to 12 noon to 2.00pm, not 11am to 1pm.	Detailed design should be amended accordingly.
03	12/12/05	Dulwich Community Council.	Requests that sympathetic consideration be given to Herne Hill School parking arrangements.	No further action recommended.  Sufficient parking accommodation is already proposed: one short-stay parking place is being provided, shared-use is available, CPZ only operates 12noon – 2pm.  Experimental CPZ effects will be monitored.
04	16/12/05	Resident. Ruskin Walk.	Requests that sympathetic consideration be	No action, as 03, above.

			given to Herne Hill School parking arrangements.	
05	17/12/05	Herne Hill School. Ruskin Walk	Supports investigation of one-way working.  Requests that hours of operation be amended to 12 noon to 2.00pm, not 11am to 1pm.	Comments passed to Transport planning and policy group.  Scheme amendment, as 02, above.
06	18/12/05	Village Ward Councillor.	Considers that more short-stay parking bays be installed in proximity to school.  Considers that short length of double yellow line be considered in Ruskin Walk to provide passing place.	No action, as 03, above.  Detailed design should be amended accordingly to accommodate passing place.  Recommended that single yellow line is installed with CPZ hours of control.
07	22/12/05	Resident. Burbage Road.	Concerned that CPZ not being extended into Burbage Road. Parking problems exacerbated as a result of existing CPZ.	No action recommended.  2005 consultation did not show clear pattern of support for implementation. Reduction in existing CPZ hours is likely to alleviate problems. Experimental CPZ allows monitoring of effects.
08	31/12/05	Resident Group. Ruskin Walk.	Concerned that CPZ is recommended for implementation in Ruskin Walk and that statistics do not support controls.	The core group of streets has been considered as a whole due to the known displacement that would occur if a lesser group of streets was introduced (where clear support is shown). Support for CPZ, to prevent commuter parking, in Ruskin Walk is consistent at the southern end – breaks in controls halfway along a street are never successful. The situation differs from Burbage Road where displacement from the existing CPZ is expected to be reduced as a result of the recommended reduction in control hours.  Experimental CPZ allows monitoring of effects.

			Support for permit holders only, supported by consultation results.	In line with Carver Road, it is recommended that 'permit holder only' is introduced in the majority of the street, but the two bays of shared-use is installed at the north-west end and two bays at south-eastern end.
			Considerable support (via recent resident study) for investigation of traffic calming/ one-way working.	Comments passed to Transport policy and planning group.
09	01/01/06	Resident. Ruskin Walk.	Concerned that CPZ hours will not cover the worst period of parking pressure - school pick up / drop off.	No action recommended. CPZ is primarily designed to deter long-stay parking.
			Concerned that permit price will remain same for reduced hours.	The benefit for residents of all day parking in CPZs is considered to be the same throughout the borough, irrespective of local variations in regulations
10	02/01/06	Resident. Ruskin Walk.	Concerned that CPZ hours will not cover the worst period of parking pressure - school pick up / drop off.	No action recommended. CPZ is primarily designed to deter long-stay parking.
			Concerned that permit price will remain same for reduced hours.	No action, as 09, above.
			Supports investigation of one-way working.	Comments passed to Transport planning and policy group.
11	02/01/06	Resident. Carver Road.	Requests that Carver Road be made 'resident only'	Scheme amendment, as 01, above.
12	02/01/06	Resident. Carver Road.	Requests that Carver Road be made 'resident only'	Scheme amendment, as 01, above.
13	03/01/06	Resident. Winterbrook Road	Concerned that reduction in hours of control may lead to increased commuter parking.	No action recommended. Scheme will be introduced experimentally and evaluated over 6 months.
			Requests	

			consideration of 4 hours.	
14	03/01/06	Resident Group. Stradella and Springfield Residents' Association	Concerned that reduction in hours of control may lead to increased commuter parking. Requests consideration of 4 hours.	No action recommended. Scheme will be introduced experimentally and evaluated over 6 months.
			Preferred use of low-level, low-impact street furniture.	Further approval being sought by DfT, with officer support for short-height signage.
			Request for additional parking place in Stradella Road.	Design amendment, subject to site approval.
			Increase short-term bays to 45 minutes	No action recommended. Reduced hours of control will provide space in adjacent side streets. 45 minutes will become significantly more problematic to enforce.
15	03/01/06	Resident. Winterbrook Road	Concerned that reduction in hours of control may lead to increased commuter parking. Requests consideration of 4 hours.	No action recommended. Scheme will be introduced experimentally and evaluated over 6 months.
			Requests that pay and display be limited to less than 2 hours.	If commuter parking persists/returns, maximum stay period will be revisited.
16	03/01/06	Resident. Warmington Road.	Supports recommendation to implement CPZ.	No action recommended.
17	03/01/06	Resident. Burbage Road.	Supports recommendation to reduce hours of control.	No action recommended.
18	03/01/06	Resident Group. Carver Road.	Requests that Carver Road be made 'resident only' Signed by 47 households.	Scheme amendment, as 01, above.
19	03/01/06	Resident. Winterbrook Road	Concerned that reduction in hours of control may lead to increased commuter parking. Requests consideration of 4	No action recommended. Scheme will be introduced experimentally and evaluated over 6 months.

20	03/01/06	Resident. Carver Road.	hours. Supports recommendation to implement CPZ. Indifferent to bay type.	No action required.
21	05/01/06	Resident. Hollingbourne Road.	Recommends that hours of operation should be amended to 12 noon to 2.00pm. Recommends to increase on-street parking provision for school.	Scheme amendment, as 02, above. No action recommended, as detailed above.
22	08/01/06	Resident. Hollingbourne Road.	Supports recommendation to implement CPZ and proposed hours of control. Considers that school should be treated like any business and, as such, no special treatment should be given.	No action required.

### North Dulwich Review – Written Representations

Ref	Date Rc	Source	Issue	Action/Recommendation
01	28/12/05	Resident. Ardbeg Road.	Concerned that CPZ is not recommended for implementation in Ardbeg Road.	No action recommended. 2005 consultation did not show clear support for implementation.
02	30/12/05	Resident. Half Moon Lane.	Concerned that CPZ is not recommended for implementation in Half Moon Lane.	No action recommended. 2005 consultation did not show clear support for implementation.
03	31/12/05	Resident. Wyneham Road.	Supports decision not to implement CPZ.	No action required.
04	02/01/06	Resident. Elmwood Road.	Supports decision not to implement CPZ.	No action required.
05	04/01/05	Resident. Ardbeg Road.	Concerned that CPZ is not recommended for implementation in Ardbeg Road.	No action recommended. 2005 consultation did not show clear, consistent support for implementation.

**RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

- 4. Resource implications are covered within the body of the main key decision report.

**COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT**

- 5. Impact upon community is covered within the body of the main key decision report.

**CONSULTATION**

- 6. Aspects of consultation are covered within the body of the main key decision report.

**LEGAL CONCURRENT**

- 7. The legal concurrent is covered within the body of the main key decision report.

**FOR DELEGATED APPROVAL**

Under the powers delegated to me in accordance with the Council's Financial Regulations, I authorise action in accordance with the recommendation contained in the above report.

**Signature** .....  
**Gill Davies, Strategic Director Environment and Leisure**

**Date** .....

**Audit Trail**

<b>Lead Officer</b>	<i>Nicky Costin.</i>
<b>Report Author</b>	<i>Tim Walker</i>
<b>Version</b>	<i>Final (v2)</i>
<b>Dated</b>	<i>19/01/06</i>